UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,352	04/20/2007	Thomas Holzbaur	016906-0520	9778
	7590 07/20/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NIW	COLEMAN, KEITH A		
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,352	HOLZBAUR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KEITH COLEMAN	3747	

	KEITH COLEMAN	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthal ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original for replacements or reply original for replacements or re	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 17 June 2009. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better _ appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claim language to the point where a further search and assessment is warranted. Applicant has added the limitation of "wherein the temperature sensor is located at the coolant outlet 'prior to any branches' in a coolant passage" in Claims 1 and 10 and explicitly states coolant cools the single integrated unit. In addition, Applicant has added claim 18. With regards to the 102 rejection, the Elmers & Banzhaf et al. referenes clearly shows the previous claimed language in Figures 2 and 6, respectively, however, in light of the new limitaiton, the presented language is distinguishable over both Elmers and Banzhaf et al. and thus, the claims will not be entered for appeal.